

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LEGAL SCIENCE AND INNOVATION

[ISSN 2581-9453]

---

Volume 6 | Issue 5

2024

---

© 2024 *International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlsi.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com>)

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation after due review.

In case of **any suggestion or complaint**, please contact [Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com](mailto:Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com).

---

**To submit your Manuscript** for Publication at International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation, kindly email your Manuscript at [editor.ijlsi@gmail.com](mailto:editor.ijlsi@gmail.com).

---

# A Jurisprudential Analysis of India's Right to Education in Connection with Equality and Quality Control

---

SANDEEP KUMAR SHARMA<sup>1</sup> AND DR. NAGENDRA PAL<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

*Article 21A of the Indian Constitution guarantees children aged 6 to 14 free and compulsory education, which is known as the Right to Education (RTE). Although equal access to education is the goal of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, the Indian educational system has a difficult time striking a balance between quality and equality. The junction of legal, social, and economic factors that influence the RTE Act's efficacy is examined in this essay. It explores the disparities in educational access that exist across different groups, such as underprivileged communities, rural dwellers, and economically disadvantaged individuals.*

*Although the RTE Act has made education more accessible to everybody, there are still worries about the deteriorating standard of instruction in public schools. Because of insufficient financing and governance issues, quality metrics including infrastructure, teacher-student ratios, and learning outcomes are frequently overlooked. Furthermore, many people cannot afford the higher-quality education that private schools offer, which widens the socioeconomic gap. The state's obligation to provide both access and quality, as well as court interpretations of the RTE, are also assessed in the study.*

*This study emphasises the necessity of an all-encompassing strategy that combines legislative requirements with policy changes, such as funding for curriculum improvement, teacher preparation, and public school infrastructure. The article offers suggestions for striking a balance between equality and quality in India's educational system by looking at important case laws, policies, and educational reports. It suggests that many people may not be able to fulfil their right to education if equity and quality are not given equal weight.*

**Keywords:** *Right to Education, equity, quality, India, RTE Act, education policy, public schools, judicial interpretation, access to education.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Author is a PhD Research Scholar at Department of Law, Galgotias University Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup> Author is an Assistant Professor at Department of Physical Education, Government Girl's Degree College Rampur, India.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Many people agree that education is an effective means of bringing about social and economic change. It serves as the cornerstone for a person's full participation in society, advancing both individual and societal growth. Article 21A, which requires the state to provide free and compulsory education to all children between the ages of 6 and 14, recognises the Right to Education (RTE) in India. In a major step towards guaranteeing that everyone has fair access to education, this right was enacted into law with the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.

Nonetheless, legislators and educators have found it difficult to guarantee quality and fairness in education. Equity is the equitable and just allocation of educational opportunities, guaranteeing that all children have access to education regardless of their socioeconomic status, gender, or place of residence. Conversely, quality pertains to the calibre of education that is offered, encompassing elements like curriculum design, instructional strategies, facilities, and student results.

Making education accessible to kids from underprivileged and marginalised areas is one of the main objectives of the RTE Act. According to the Act, private schools must set aside 25% of their seats for students from lower-income families, with the government covering a portion of the cost. Although this clause has greatly advanced diversity, it has also sparked an increasing discussion over whether access, especially in public schools, has been sacrificed for quality.

Government-run public schools and private institutions, which are sometimes thought to offer higher-quality education, make up the two halves of the Indian educational system. Most children from low-income families attend public schools, which frequently face challenges such a lack of funding, dilapidated facilities, and a teacher shortage. Consequently, the quality of education at these schools falls short of expectations, casting doubt on the RTE Act's ability to fulfil its goals.

In addition, socioeconomic gaps in access to high-quality education have continued, with wealthy families choosing private education, which is out of reach for the majority. As a result, there is now a gap in education, with the wealthy having access to better resources while the poor continue to get subpar instruction in public institutions. Therefore, ensuring that all students receive high-quality education that allows them to succeed is just as important as granting access.

In order to close the gaps in the educational system, judicial interventions and changes in policy have been essential. The groundwork for acknowledging education as a basic right has been

established by seminal instances like *Mohini Jain v. State of Karnataka* and *Unni Krishnan v. State of Andhra Pradesh*. However, a number of obstacles stand in the way of putting these decisions into practice, mostly related to administrative ability, governance, and money.

This article aims to investigate the intricate relationship between quality and equality in India's educational system. In order to solve the deficiencies in both quality and accessibility, the research will critically examine the RTE Act, court interpretations, and governmental initiatives. Along with suggestions for closing the gap between the two, it will make the case that the RTE Act's promise of universal education will not be realised in the absence of notable advancements in educational quality.

## **II. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE RIGHT TO EDUCATION IN INDIA**

In India, the right to education has experienced a significant metamorphosis, progressing from a fundamental societal necessity to a constitutionally protected right. Early post-colonial Indian educational reforms, court rulings that acknowledged education as a basic right, and constitutional changes that affirmed this right under Article 21A all contributed to its development. Today, it is believed that India's socioeconomic growth and the empowerment of its people depend heavily on the right to education.

### **(A) Early Post-Colonial Indian Educational Reforms and Policies**

Following its independence in 1947, India had to embark on the enormous undertaking of nation-building, with education being viewed as a crucial element of socioeconomic advancement. With severe differences between areas, genders, and groups, the nation's literacy rate was startlingly low. It was evident that the state needed to get involved in education, and early post-colonial governments concentrated on solving these issues.

The University Education Commission of 1948–49, headed by Dr. S. Radhakrishnan, was one of the first initiatives. It placed a strong emphasis on both the necessity of universal basic education and the improvement of higher education. The Secondary Education Commission of 1952–1953 and the Kothari Commission of 1964–1966 came next, both of which were crucial in forming the foundation for education policy. The Kothari Commission laid the groundwork for later reforms aimed at universalising primary education by recommending a single school structure to support fair access to education.

The National Education Policy (NPE) The state's responsibility to provide children with free and compulsory education was further emphasised in 1968 and 1986 (with revisions in 1992). The infrastructure, quality, and accessibility of education were all intended to be improved by

these programs, but execution proved difficult, especially in rural regions.

### **III. JUDICIAL RECOGNITION OF EDUCATION AS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT**

Although these changes set the foundation, court action in the 1990s signalled a sea change for India's right to education. The Supreme Court's landmark decisions in *Mohini Jain v. State of Karnataka* (1992) and *Unni Krishnan v. State of Andhra Pradesh* (1993) were crucial in establishing education as a basic right guaranteed by the Indian Constitution.

#### **(A) Mohini Jain Case**

The Apex Court decided in the *Mohini Jain* case that the right to education is a component of the constitutionally guaranteed right to life under Article 21. The dispute started when a student named Mohini Jain claimed that the exorbitant capitation fees levied by Karnataka's private medical schools went against the principles of equality and educational access. According to the court, any policy that denies access to school on the basis of financial considerations is unconstitutional as education is necessary for leading a dignified life. Even though the right's exact definition was not yet determined, this decision recognised education as a crucial part of the right to life.

#### **(B) Unni Krishnan Case**

Soon after, the *Unni Krishnan* case increased the scope of the right to education. According to the Supreme Court's decision, which established the "scheme of education," children up to the age of 14 are entitled to free education. Additionally, the court made a distinction between the state's duty to offer higher education in accordance with economic capabilities and free education up to the age of 14.

Future constitutional revisions were made possible by the framework established by the *Unni Krishnan* ruling, which declared schooling up to the age of 14 a legally enforceable entitlement. Additionally, it played a significant role in exerting pressure on the government to take proactive measures to guarantee universal primary education.

#### **(C) Constitutional Amendments and the Inclusion of Article 21A**

Legislative action followed the court's acknowledgement of the right to education. The 86th Constitutional Amendment Act, 2002, which added Article 21A to the Indian Constitution and made free and compulsory education for children aged 6 to 14 a basic right, was the most important change. By addressing one of the main recommendations of the courts and previous educational commissions, this change represented a significant turning point in India's educational policy.

According to Article 21A, the State is required to offer free and compulsory education to all children between the ages of six and fourteen in a way that the State may specify by legislation. As a result, the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act (RTE) was passed in 2009 and went into force in 2010. By establishing clear guidelines and requirements for schools, the RTE Act made Article 21A a reality. It stipulated that all children aged 6 to 14 must receive free and compulsory education in a local school.

In order to overcome long-standing disparities in access and quality, the RTE Act also included requirements for equity in education, teacher credentials, and infrastructural upgrades. It made the state legally obligated to guarantee that no kid is denied an elementary education for the first time.

#### **(D) Equity in Education: Addressing Disparities**

Giving everyone fair and equal access to educational opportunities, regardless of socioeconomic status, gender, caste, or geography, is known as equity in education. Significant discrepancies still exist even though laws like the RTE Act have made progress in guaranteeing access to education.

#### **(E) Socio-Economic Inequality**

In India, educational inequality persists despite constitutional protections. Access to high-quality education is frequently impeded for children from Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), Other Backward Classes (OBCs), and economically disadvantaged sections (EWS). Access to education is still restricted in rural regions, and the problem is made worse by inadequate infrastructure.

To rectify this disparity, the RTE Act established a 25% reservation for underprivileged students attending private schools. However, there have been issues with execution, especially when it comes to integrating marginalised pupils into private schools where exclusion can result from cultural and economic inequalities.

#### **(F) Gender Disparity**

Another major issue is gender imbalance in schooling. Girls' dropout rates are still higher than boys', especially at the secondary level, despite India's efforts in raising girls' enrolment rates, especially at the elementary level. This tendency is influenced by a number of factors, including early marriage, gender-based violence, and a lack of secure transportation.

The government's Beti Bachao Beti Padhao program encourages families to educate their daughters in an effort to address this issue, but stronger legislative measures are required to

guarantee that girls attend school and finish their education.

#### **IV. EQUALITY IN THE RIGHT TO EDUCATION**

##### **(A) Constitutional Framework**

All children should have equal access to education, regardless of their socioeconomic background, caste, gender, or religion, according to Article 21A, coupled alongside Articles 14 (Right to Equality) and 15 (Prohibition of Discrimination). The Indian state's dedication to making sure that education is a vehicle for social justice and minimising inequalities in society is reflected in these constitutional provisions.

##### **(B) Jurisprudential Developments**

- **State of Karnataka v. Mohini Jain (1992):** According to the Supreme Court, the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21 inherently includes the right to education. Future advancements in the acknowledgement of education as a basic right were made possible by this historic ruling.
- **State of Andhra Pradesh v. Unni Krishnan (1993):** The Supreme Court clarified the state's duty to provide education in this decision, reaffirming that education is a necessary part of the right to life.
- **Socio-economic Disparities:** Socioeconomic differences continue to be a significant barrier to attaining full equality in education, notwithstanding these legislative developments. Compared to metropolitan schools, schools in rural and marginalised areas frequently face challenges such as poor learning environments, a shortage of instructors, and inadequate infrastructure.

##### **(C) Suggestions for Ensuring Equality**

- **Uniform Infrastructure Development:** To lessen the gap between urban and rural regions, the government must make investments in enhancing the infrastructure of schools in underserved and rural areas. Enough instructors, classrooms, and digital resources should be provided to schools in underserved areas.
- **Affirmative Action and Scholarships:** The access gap can be closed by bolstering affirmative action practices, such as providing scholarships to students from poor groups and localities.

- **Inclusive Education Policies:** By establishing more secure and welcoming learning settings, extra attention should be paid to gender equality in education, particularly for girls from under-represented groups.
- **Public-Private Partnerships:** Better educational achievements in underprivileged communities can result from fostering collaborations between public and private institutions, which can make it easier to share resources and knowledge.

## V. QUALITY IN EDUCATION: A PERSISTENT CHALLENGE

Quality pertains to the type and efficacy of education offered, whereas equity concentrates on granting access to education. Although the RTE Act places a strong emphasis on equity, it has frequently come under fire for not paying enough attention to the quality of education.

- **Learning Outcomes and Curriculum**

The poor learning results in Indian schools are a serious problem. Primary school students frequently fall short of fundamental reading and numeracy standards, according to studies like the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER). Many children may not acquire the necessary skills to succeed academically or in the workforce, even when they attend school.

- **Teacher Training and Accountability**

Student-teacher ratios and a minimum level of teacher certification are required by the RTE Act, although these requirements are still not being adequately implemented. In public schools, poor training, absenteeism, and a lack of accountability have hindered learning results. One of the greatest obstacles to raising quality is still making sure that educators are motivated and properly qualified.

- **Infrastructure Gaps**

Poor infrastructure affects the quality of instruction in many public schools, especially those in rural regions. Basic amenities like power, running toilets, and drinking water are frequently lacking in schools, which makes it challenging for children to concentrate on their academics. To achieve both equity and quality, these infrastructure deficiencies must be filled.

Although private schools frequently score better than public schools on a number of quality criteria, the main causes of these discrepancies are variations in facilities, teacher preparation, and funding. Raising the bar for public education presents several obstacles for policymakers, especially when it comes to financing, accountability, and infrastructure development. It is feasible to close the quality gap and guarantee that all children, irrespective of socioeconomic



background, have access to high-quality education by tackling these issues.

## **VI. QUALITY CONTROL IN THE RIGHT TO EDUCATION**

### **(A) Challenges of Quality Control**

Quality control in the delivery of education is still a major challenge, even though the RTE Act focusses on access to education. The goal of the right itself has been undermined by circumstances where children are attending school but not obtaining a decent education as a result of the jurisprudential emphasis on access alone.

- **Teacher Shortage and Training:** The lack of qualified instructors, particularly in government institutions, is one of the main issues facing Indian education. Specific student-teacher ratios are required under the RTE Act, however execution is frequently deficient, resulting in overcrowded classrooms and overworked instructors.
- **Inconsistent Standards of Education:** The curriculum, instructional strategies, and evaluation procedures used nationwide lack consistent standards. The quality of education that students get can vary greatly between states and even between schools in the same state.
- **Learning Outcomes:** Reports like the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) draw attention to how poorly pupils are learning, especially when it comes to reading, writing, and fundamental maths. This implies that students are receiving poor quality education even while they are enrolled in school.

### **(B) Jurisprudential Approach to Quality**

The topic of educational quality has been covered by the Supreme Court in a number of rulings: The Court ruled in *T.M.A. Pai Foundation v. State of Karnataka* (2002) that although private educational institutions have the freedom to manage their own business, they need uphold reasonable educational standards.

The Court stressed the importance of high-quality education as a component of the right to education in *Ashok Kumar Thakur v. Union of India* (2008), especially when it came to admission to higher education institutions and affirmative action.

### **(C) Recommendations for Quality Improvement**

- **Teacher Training and Accountability:** The government should fund teachers' ongoing professional development to make sure they have the tools necessary to deliver

high-quality instruction. Incentives for effective teaching methods should be included, as should frequent evaluations of instructors' effectiveness.

- **Curriculum Reform:** The curriculum should be revised often to take into account language and regional variations as well as current demands and international standards.
- **Monitoring Learning Outcomes:** The success of the educational system would be more accurately depicted with the implementation of a standardised method to track student learning results nationwide. Periodic national assessments might be used to accomplish this.
- **Technology Integration:** Technology integration in the classroom can assist standardise the quality of education and give pupils access to digital learning materials, particularly in remote regions.

#### **(D) Recent Reforms: Balancing Equity and Quality**

Significant changes have been made to India's education policy environment in recent years, especially with the implementation of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. A more flexible and comprehensive approach to education is emphasised by NEP 2020, which seeks to strike a balance between quality and equity.

- **The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**

The NEP seeks to fundamentally alter the present educational system by promoting universal access to education from pre-primary to secondary levels. It gives special attention to early childhood care and education, interdisciplinary higher education, and curriculum and pedagogy reforms.

In order to adequately prepare students for the challenges of the twenty-first century, the NEP also prioritises the enhancement of digital learning and vocational education. However, the effectiveness of the policy's implementation across states and areas with different educational requirements will determine its success.

- **Addressing Digital Divide**

The digital gap in education was brought to attention by the COVID-19 epidemic, when students from underserved neighbourhoods found it difficult to access online learning materials. Although NEP 2020 promotes the use of technology in the classroom, closing the digital divide is necessary to guarantee that no kid is left behind.

### **(E) Jurisprudential Balance Between Equality and Quality**

For the RTE framework to be successful, equity and educational quality must be balanced. According to legal precedent, the courts have frequently stressed that the constitutional requirement that education be of a decent level is not satisfied by just providing access to it.

- **Equal Opportunities in Quality Education:** Regardless of socioeconomic background, the judiciary should keep holding the state responsible for ensuring that everyone has access to high-quality education. By requiring that 25% of seats be set aside for economically disadvantaged groups under the RTE Act, the Court struck a compromise between the rights of private schools and the requirement for inclusive, high-quality education in instances such as *Society for Unaided Private Schools v. Union of India* (2012).
- **Public Interest Litigation (PIL):** PILs pertaining to the standard of instruction in public and private schools ought to be promoted by citizens and advocacy organisations. This would lead to judicial scrutiny and put pressure on the government to fulfil its commitments to educational equity and quality.

## **VII. CONCLUSION**

While there has been significant progress in giving equitable access to education, there are still significant obstacles in maintaining quality control, according to a jurisprudential review of India's Right to Education (RTE). Disparities in the quality of education received by pupils from various socioeconomic backgrounds frequently hinder the realisation of the Right to Education, which is guaranteed by Article 21A of the Indian Constitution and is an essential instrument for advancing social justice.

Ensuring equitable access is just the beginning. All students must get an education that is meaningful, inclusive, and empowering, regardless of their socioeconomic standing, gender, or place of residence, in order for there to be true educational equity. This entails tackling inequalities in curriculum delivery, learning resources, teacher availability, and infrastructure. Underprivileged populations, rural locations, and marginalised groups continue to get inadequate education because they frequently lack the resources needed to provide high-quality instruction.

Furthermore, the lack of a strong quality control system has resulted in inconsistent learning results throughout the nation. Educational standards are becoming diluted as a result of out-of-date curricula, a shortage of instructors with the necessary training, and a lack of chances for

professional development. Furthermore, despite improvements in educational access, there is still little emphasis on learning outcomes. There is a need for change as evidenced by reports such as the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER), which continuously reveals low student reading and numeracy scores.

India has to improve its legal systems and make sure that quality standards are effectively enforced if it is to fulfil the promise of the Right to Education. Improved teacher preparation, improved public-private collaborations, new policy ideas, and consistent learning outcome monitoring will all be necessary for this. The nation cannot accomplish educational fairness and support the overall development of all its inhabitants until these problems are resolved.

\*\*\*\*\*

## VIII. REFERENCES

- **Menon, S. (2021).** "The Right to Education and Judicial Review: A Legal Perspective on Policy Implementation in India." *Law and Policy Review*, 14(1), 45-62.
- **Mohanty, P. (2018).** "Barriers to Educational Equity in India: A Legal and Policy Analysis." *International Journal of Educational Policy*, 13(4), 115-134.
- **Sen, A. (2021).** "Quality Education as a Fundamental Right: Challenges and Perspectives under Indian Law." *Journal of Educational Justice*, 10(3), 155-170.
- **Rajasekhar, D., & Sreedhar, G. (2020).** "Equity and Access in School Education in India: A Study of RTE Act." *Journal of Educational Planning and Administration*, 34(1), 11-28.
- **Ghosh, S. (2019).** "The Right to Education Act in India: Realising the Fundamental Right to Education?" *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 65(3), 423-434.
- **Majumdar, M., & Mooij, J. (2021).** "Educational Inequality and the Right to Education in India: Issues and Challenges." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(2), 20-27.
- **Kumar, K. (2018).** "Rethinking Education: The Need for Equity and Quality under the Right to Education Act." *International Journal of Educational Development*, 60(4), 30-38.
- **Mehendale, A. (2021).** "Implementing the Right to Education Act in India: A Critical Analysis." *Journal of Social Policy*, 50(2), 215-232.
- **Tilak, J. B. G. (2017).** "Right to Education: Promise and Performance." *Journal of Educational Research*, 52(1), 39-51.
- **Kumar, S. (2020).** "Legal Framework for Equitable and Quality Education in India: A Critical Examination." *Indian Journal of Law and Education*, 28(3), 102-115.
- **Sarin, A., & Gupta, S. (2019).** "Balancing Rights and Quality: Legal Challenges in Implementing the Right to Education in India." *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 6(3), 361-380.
- **Thakur, R. (2018).** "Achieving Quality Education: The Role of the Indian Judiciary in Enforcing the Right to Education." *Indian Journal of Constitutional Law*, 12(2), 185-203.

- **Banerjee, A., & Duflo, E. (2020).** "Educational Access and Quality in India: Perspectives from the Right to Education Act." *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 21(4), 432-450.
- **Sengupta, M., & Das, S. (2019).** "Educational Equity in India: Analyzing the Impact of RTE Act on School Enrollment." *Journal of Comparative Education*, 55(2), 213-230.
- **Bhargava, R. (2020).** "The Role of the Judiciary in Protecting the Right to Education in India." *Indian Journal of Public Law*, 47(3), 87-103.

\*\*\*\*\*