

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LEGAL
SCIENCE AND INNOVATION**
[ISSN 2581-9453]

Volume 6 | Issue 3

2024

© 2024 *International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlsi.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com>)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation after due review.

In case of **any suggestion or complaint**, please contact Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication at **International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation**, kindly email your Manuscript at editor.ijlsi@gmail.com.

Analysing the Judicial Control Over Administrative Action in India

KUSHAGRA PAREEK¹

ABSTRACT

Judicial control over administrative action in India is a cornerstone of the nation's democratic framework. This analysis offers an extensive exploration of the mechanisms, legal framework, historical context, and recent developments in judicial control over administrative actions in India. This highlights the pivotal role played by the judiciary in safeguarding citizens' rights, ensuring government accountability, and upholding the rule of law.

Keywords: Administrative Action; Judicial Control; Constitution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Judicial control over administrative action in India is a complex and multifaceted aspect of the country's governance system. It ensures that government agencies and officials operate within the boundaries set by the law, adhere to principles of justice, fairness, and accountability, and safeguard the rights of citizens. This comprehensive analysis delves into the legal framework, historical context, and recent developments surrounding judicial control over administrative action in India.

(A) Legal Framework:

The legal framework for judicial control over administrative action in India is founded on a tripartite basis, encompassing constitutional provisions, administrative law, and statutes that regulate specific administrative bodies and actions.

(B) Constitutional Framework:

The Constitution of India, adopted in 1950, lays the foundation for democratic governance and the principles of administrative action. Key constitutional provisions relevant to judicial control include:

Article 14 (Equality before Law): This article ensures that administrative actions are not arbitrary or discriminatory, promoting the concept of equality before the law.

¹ Author is a student at School of Law, Manipal University Jaipur, India.

Article 19 (Protection of Certain Rights): Article 19 guarantees various freedoms, including the right to freedom of speech and expression, assembly, and association. Administrative actions that infringe upon these rights are subject to judicial scrutiny.

Article 21 (Protection of Life and Personal Liberty): Article 21 enshrines the right to life and personal liberty. It serves as a bulwark against arbitrary detention, torture, and other violations of personal rights by the government.

Article 226 (High Court's Jurisdiction): Article 226 empowers High Courts with the authority to issue writs for the enforcement of fundamental rights, a crucial tool for judicial control over administrative actions.²

(C) **Administrative Law:**

Administrative law in India derives from common law principles, statutes, and judicial precedents. It encompasses several key elements:

Natural Justice: The principles of natural justice, including the right to a fair hearing and unbiased decision-making, form an integral part of administrative law. Administrative decisions must adhere to these principles to be legally valid.

Judicial Review: Courts exercise judicial review to assess the legality and constitutionality of administrative actions. This process includes a scrutiny of both the substantive and procedural aspects of decisions, ensuring that they are reasonable and comply with the principles of natural justice.

(D) **Statutory Framework:**

Specific statutes govern various administrative bodies and actions in India. These statutes provide a structured framework for the functioning of government agencies and their actions. For example:

The Right to Information Act, 2005: This statute empowers citizens to request information from government agencies, fostering transparency and accountability in administrative actions.³

The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985: This act established administrative tribunals to adjudicate disputes related to administrative actions, ensuring specialized legal remedies and redressal mechanisms for aggrieved citizens.⁴

² Constitution of India

³ Right to Information Act, 2005

⁴ The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985

(E) Role of the Judiciary:

The judiciary in India plays a pivotal role in enforcing and upholding the principles of judicial control over administrative action. It serves as the ultimate safeguard against the abuse of power and the infringement of citizens' rights.

(F) Writ Jurisdiction:

The Supreme Court and High Courts wield the authority to issue writs under Article 32 and Article 226⁵ of the Indian Constitution, respectively. These writs, including habeas corpus, mandamus, certiorari, prohibition, and quo warranto, serve as potent tools for challenging administrative actions that are arbitrary, illegal, or unconstitutional.

- **Habeas Corpus:** This writ is invoked to protect an individual's right to personal liberty, ensuring that no one is unlawfully detained by the government.
- **Mandamus:** Mandamus is used to compel a public authority to perform its legal duties, ensuring that government officials act within the law.
- **Certiorari:** Certiorari is employed to review and quash administrative decisions that are tainted by illegality or procedural impropriety.
- **Prohibition:** Prohibition prevents inferior courts or tribunals from exceeding their jurisdiction and making decisions that fall outside their legal authority.
- **Quo Warranto:** Quo warranto is used to challenge the right of an individual to hold a public office or position, ensuring that such appointments are made in accordance with the law.

(G) Judicial Review:

Judicial review is a fundamental aspect of judicial control over administrative action in India. Courts assess the legality, constitutionality, and fairness of administrative decisions, examining both substantive and procedural aspects. This process ensures that government actions are in conformity with the rule of law and principles of natural justice.

a. Public Interest Litigation (PIL):

A unique feature of Indian jurisprudence, Public Interest Litigation (PIL) enables citizens to seek judicial intervention in matters of public concern. PIL cases are instrumental in holding the government accountable for various administrative actions that impact society.⁶

⁵ Constitution of India

⁶ Article 32 of the Constitution of India

II. HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The concept of judicial control over administrative action in India has evolved significantly over the years. Several historical milestones have shaped its status.

(A) Pre-independence Era:

During British colonial rule, India did not possess a unified legal framework for administrative actions. The British administration enjoyed significant discretionary powers, which led to widespread public discontent.

(B) Post-independence Developments:

With the adoption of the Indian Constitution in 1950, India embarked on a new era of governance. The Constitution's framers recognized the importance of judicial control over administrative actions to prevent abuse of power and protect fundamental rights.

(C) Landmark Judgments:

Several landmark judgments by the Indian judiciary have solidified the role of the courts in controlling administrative actions. Some notable cases include:

- **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973):** In this case, the Supreme Court established the doctrine of the basic structure of the Constitution, affirming that certain core features of the Constitution are beyond the amending power of the legislature. This decision has been instrumental in preserving the Constitution's integrity and principles.⁷
- **Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978):** The Supreme Court expanded the scope of Article 21 (protection of life and personal liberty) by recognizing the right to travel abroad as a part of personal liberty. This judgment reinforced the principle that administrative actions must be fair and just.⁸
- **Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997):** The Supreme Court laid down guidelines to address sexual harassment at the workplace, filling a legislative void. This decision exemplified the judiciary's role in upholding citizens' rights and protecting them from administrative injustices.⁹

(D) Recent Developments:

In recent years, the Indian judiciary has been actively engaged in controlling administrative

⁷ AIR 1973 SC 1461

⁸ AIR 1978 SC 597

⁹ AIR 1997 SC 3011

action in various domains. Some notable developments include:

a. Environmental Regulation:

Environmental issues have gained significant attention in India. The judiciary has been proactive in directing the government to take measures to combat air pollution, conserve forests, and protect wildlife. For instance, the Supreme Court's involvement in monitoring air quality and regulating industrial pollution has led to crucial interventions in safeguarding public health and the environment.

b. Right to Privacy:

In a landmark judgment in 2017, the Supreme Court recognized the right to privacy as a fundamental right. This decision reaffirmed citizens' autonomy and control over their personal information, curbing potential intrusions by administrative authorities, including the state's biometric identification program, Aadhaar.

c. Aadhaar Verdict:

The Supreme Court's ruling in the Aadhaar case (Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India)¹⁰ set limits on the use of Aadhaar, India's biometric identification system. The judgment clarified that while Aadhaar could be used for certain purposes, it must not infringe on the right to privacy and should be voluntary for most services. This case underscored the judiciary's role in balancing administrative objectives with individual rights.

d. Government Accountability:

The Indian judiciary has been proactive in holding government authorities accountable for actions related to social welfare programs, corruption, and public service delivery. It has heard numerous PILs addressing issues such as the distribution of food to the poor, education, and healthcare access, ensuring that administrative actions serve the public interest.

III. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

While judicial control over administrative action in India has made significant strides, it faces several challenges and opportunities for improvement:

- **Delayed Justice:** A significant challenge is the delay in the Indian legal system. Cases often take years or even decades to be resolved, which can hinder the efficacy of judicial control over administrative actions.

¹⁰ AIR 2017 SC 4161

- **Resource Constraints:** The Indian judiciary faces resource constraints, including a shortage of judges and court infrastructure, which can impede timely adjudication of cases.
- **Expanding Jurisdiction:** As the range and complexity of administrative actions grow, the judiciary must continue to adapt and expand its jurisdiction to effectively address emerging challenges.
- **E-Governance and Data Protection:** With the increasing digitization of government services, the judiciary must address issues related to data protection and privacy, especially in the context of administrative actions.
- **Public Awareness and Access to Justice:** Encouraging public awareness about the rights and remedies available for challenging administrative actions is crucial for a robust judicial control system. Enhancing access to justice for marginalized and vulnerable populations remains a priority.

IV. CONCLUSION

Judicial control over administrative action in India is an essential pillar of the country's democratic system. It ensures that government actions are conducted within the bounds of the law, the principles of justice, and accountability. The Indian judiciary, through its constitutional, statutory, and common law powers, plays a pivotal role in upholding citizens' rights, preserving the rule of law, and curbing administrative excesses. Despite challenges, the judiciary continues to evolve and adapt, contributing to a more just and accountable administrative system in India.

V. BIBLIOGRAPHY**(A) Statutes:**

- Right to Information Act, 2005
- The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985
- Constitution of India

(B) Books:

- Judicial Control of Administrative Action by Justice B P Banerjee
- Administrative Law by C.K. Takwani

(C) Cases Cited:

- Kesavananda Bharati V. State of Kerala (1973) AIR 1973 SC 1461
- Maneka Gandhi V. Union of India (1978) AIR 1978 SC 597
- Vishaka V. State of Rajasthan (1997) AIR 1997 SC 3011
- Justice K.S. Puttaswamy V. Union of India, AIR 2017 SC 4161
