

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LEGAL SCIENCE AND INNOVATION

[ISSN 2581-9453]

Volume 7 | Issue 3

2025

© 2025 International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlsi.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com>)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation after due review.

In case of **any suggestion or complaint**, please contact support@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication at International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation, kindly email your Manuscript at editor.ijlsi@gmail.com.

Plastic Waste and the Law Strengthening EPR to Combat India's Growing Crisis

VIHAAN SRIRAM¹

ABSTRACT

India faces an escalating plastic waste crisis, driven by rapid urbanization, increased consumerism, and inadequate waste management infrastructure. Despite regulatory efforts such as the Plastic Waste Management Rules (2016) and subsequent amendments, the implementation of Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) remains insufficient in tackling the mounting environmental challenges posed by plastic pollution. This paper examines the legal and policy landscape surrounding plastic waste management in India, focusing on EPR as a regulatory tool to hold producers accountable for the post-consumer lifecycle of plastic products.

I. INTRODUCTION

Plastic pollution has become one of the most pressing environmental issues worldwide, and India is no exception. The country's rapid urbanization, population growth, and extensive reliance on plastic products have led to severe environmental and health consequences. In response to the mounting plastic waste crisis, the Indian government has enacted various regulations, with a particular emphasis on the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) framework. EPR is a policy approach that holds producers responsible for the entire lifecycle of their products, including post-consumer waste management.

This paper examines the legal and regulatory aspects of plastic pollution in India, focusing on the implementation and enforcement of EPR in managing plastic waste. It explores the legal framework surrounding plastic waste management, the role of key stakeholders, and case studies highlighting the challenges and successes in EPR enforcement. Additionally, this analysis considers the impact of judicial intervention and the role of the Indian judiciary in shaping the country's approach to plastic waste.

II. UNDERSTANDING PLASTIC POLLUTION AND ITS LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Plastic pollution in India has reached alarming proportions. The country generates approximately 3.5 million tons of plastic waste annually, with an estimated 40% of it remaining

¹ Author is a student at Shree Bharath Vidyaashram, TamilNadu, India.

uncollected or improperly disposed of. The consequences of unchecked plastic waste are far-reaching, affecting ecosystems, human health, and biodiversity.

The legal implications of plastic pollution are significant, particularly in terms of public health, environmental protection, and human rights. The Indian judiciary has long recognized the right to a clean and healthy environment as a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution. However, the lack of effective enforcement mechanisms and inadequate infrastructure for waste management have hindered the proper disposal and recycling of plastic waste.

Indian courts have played a vital role in shaping plastic waste management policies, issuing directions and judgments to curb plastic pollution. Notably, the Supreme Court and High Courts have been active in interpreting the laws related to plastic pollution and enforcing stronger regulatory measures.

III. EXTENDED PRODUCER RESPONSIBILITY (EPR): A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

EPR is a regulatory approach in which producers are held responsible for the entire lifecycle of their products, from production to disposal. Originating in Europe in the 1990s, EPR has been widely adopted in various countries as a means of reducing waste and promoting recycling. In Europe, for example, the European Union's Waste Framework Directive requires producers to take responsibility for the waste generated by their products, including plastics.

Global Case Studies:

1. **European Union (EU):** The EU has implemented robust EPR schemes, including mandatory collection and recycling targets for plastic packaging. The EU's Circular Economy Action Plan emphasizes the importance of EPR in achieving recycling goals.
2. **Japan:** Japan's "Container and Packaging Recycling Law" requires manufacturers and retailers to bear the responsibility for recycling used packaging. Japan's EPR framework has been highly successful in reducing waste and promoting recycling, with high compliance rates.
3. **United States:** The U.S. has adopted voluntary EPR programs, with states like California implementing mandatory schemes for specific products. While the U.S. has not adopted nationwide EPR laws, individual states have made significant progress in waste management.

IV. PLASTIC WASTE MANAGEMENT RULES, 2016

In India, the government's primary regulatory framework for managing plastic waste is the Plastic Waste Management Rules (PWMR) of 2016. These rules impose a legal obligation on

producers, importers, and brand owners to ensure the collection, recycling, and disposal of plastic waste generated by their products.

Key Provisions:

Increased Thickness of Plastic Bags: The government has raised the minimum thickness of plastic carry bags from 40 microns to 50 microns. This change is intended to help improve the recycling process, making it easier for plastic waste to be collected and repurposed.

Extended Jurisdiction: Previously, the rules mainly focused on urban areas, but now they've been expanded to include rural regions as well. This change acknowledges that plastic waste isn't just an urban problem—it's spread across the entire country, and all areas need to take part in managing it.

Manufacturer and Generator Responsibilities: The rules now clearly outline the responsibilities of manufacturers and waste generators. Producers and brand owners are expected to take charge of the plastic waste their products create, ensuring there's a proper system in place to collect, recycle, and manage it. This is all part of the broader *Extended Producer Responsibility* (EPR) framework.

Pre-Registration Requirement: Any manufacturer, importer, or vendor dealing with plastic carry bags or multilayered packaging must pre-register with authorities. This is to ensure that they're prepared and compliant when it comes to setting up a plastic waste management system.

Plastic Waste Management Tax: To fund and support waste management efforts, a specific tax will be collected on plastic waste. This tax will help finance the development of better systems for managing plastic disposal and recycling.

Recycling Standards: Plastic waste recycling must follow the guidelines outlined in IS 14534: 1998, which sets the standards for how plastic should be processed. The rules also focus on managing both recyclable and non-recyclable plastic waste according to these standards to make the recycling process more efficient.

Recyclable Plastic Waste Management: If plastic waste can be recycled, it must be directed to registered recycling centers. This ensures that the plastic is processed properly and doesn't end up in landfills or the environment.

Vendor and Shopkeeper Registration: Anyone—whether a shopkeeper or street vendor—who sells plastic carry bags must register with the local authorities. They also have to make sure that customers are aware that plastic bags are provided for a fee and that these bags can only be given by registered vendors.

Challenges in Enforcement: Despite these provisions, the enforcement of PWMR has been fraught with challenges, including poor monitoring mechanisms, lack of infrastructure, and inadequate compliance by producers. Additionally, the rules have been criticized for being overly lenient and lacking teeth in terms of penalties.

V. EPR FRAMEWORK IN INDIA: LEGAL AND REGULATORY LANDSCAPE

The Indian EPR framework for plastic waste management is primarily governed by the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEFCC) and the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). Under the Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016, producers are legally bound to manage the plastic waste generated from their products.

Key Stakeholders:

1. **Producers and Brand Owners:** Producers must take responsibility for managing the end-of-life disposal of the plastic products they place in the market. This includes ensuring the collection, recycling, and disposal of plastic packaging.
2. **Government and Regulatory Authorities:** The MoEFCC and CPCB are responsible for formulating policies, issuing guidelines, and monitoring compliance. State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs) play a crucial role in enforcement at the local level.
3. **Consumers:** While consumers are not directly liable under the EPR framework, their role in segregating and disposing of waste properly is critical to the success of the program.

VI. LEGAL CHALLENGES AND GAPS IN EPR ENFORCEMENT

Despite the establishment of EPR under the Plastic Waste Management Rules, significant legal challenges persist in ensuring compliance and enforcement. These challenges can be categorized as follows:

1. **Lack of Clarity in Regulations:** The Plastic Waste Management Rules do not provide clear definitions for key terms like "producer," "recycler," and "recovery." This vagueness has led to inconsistent enforcement across different states.
2. **Weak Enforcement Mechanisms:** While penalties for non-compliance exist, enforcement at the ground level remains weak. Local authorities often lack the capacity and resources to monitor plastic waste management practices effectively.
3. **Legal Gaps in Waste Collection Infrastructure:** India's waste collection and recycling infrastructure is inadequate, especially in rural areas. There is a lack of

uniformity in waste collection systems, with significant variations between states and cities.

VII. COURT INTERPRETATIONS AND LEGAL PRECEDENTS

Indian courts have been instrumental in shaping the country's approach to plastic waste management. Several key legal precedents have highlighted the need for more stringent EPR enforcement and clearer regulations.

Key Case Laws:

Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action (ICEA) v. Union of India (1996)

In this landmark case, the Supreme Court of India recognized the necessity of holding industries accountable for the waste they generate. While the case primarily focused on industrial pollution, the Court emphasized the "Polluter Pays Principle," which would later influence India's approach to Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) and plastic waste management. The judgment laid the foundation for future cases involving corporate responsibility for waste disposal and environmental protection.

Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996)

The Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum case is another landmark case where the Supreme Court emphasized the need for strict enforcement of environmental laws to protect public health and the environment. The case discussed the role of industrial waste and pollution, and its principles were later extended to address plastic waste. It reinforced the idea that environmental harm, including plastic pollution, should be minimized by ensuring that producers take responsibility for the waste their products create.

Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 – Judicial Review by National Green Tribunal (NGT)

In **O.A. No. 56/2018 (Eco-watch India v. Hindustan Unilever Ltd.)**, the National Green Tribunal (NGT) heard a petition regarding plastic waste management, particularly focusing on the failure of companies to comply with the EPR provisions in the Plastic Waste Management Rules. The NGT directed the government to ensure better enforcement of the rules and called for stronger accountability from producers for managing plastic waste. This case is significant in understanding how the judiciary is interpreting and enforcing EPR-related provisions in India

VIII. CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY: CASE STUDIES OF EPR COMPLIANCE

Several companies in India have proactively adopted EPR frameworks to manage their plastic waste. For example, Hindustan Unilever (HUL) has committed to collecting and recycling more plastic than it produces. However, many companies continue to face legal challenges regarding compliance with EPR.

Corporate Approaches:

Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL): HUL has been a frontrunner in adopting Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) in India. Their initiative focuses on collecting and recycling plastic waste that is equivalent to the amount of plastic used in their packaging. The company has worked to partner with waste management organizations and local authorities to strengthen its waste collection and recycling infrastructure. HUL's efforts align with its sustainability goal to reduce plastic waste and foster a circular economy.

PepsiCo India: PepsiCo India has made significant strides in managing its plastic waste through collaborations with organizations such as **Banyan Nation** and others. Their goal is to ensure that 100% of their packaging waste is collected and recycled by promoting efficient waste management systems. PepsiCo also emphasizes using sustainable materials in packaging, thus reducing its carbon footprint. The company has committed to recycling the equivalent of every bottle it sells and focusing on promoting the circular economy in India.

Coca-Cola India: Under its “**World Without Waste**” program, Coca-Cola aims to collect and recycle the equivalent of every bottle or can they sell by 2030. This ambitious program involves partnerships with local waste management agencies, the government, and other stakeholders. Coca-Cola has pledged to use 50% recycled content in its plastic bottles by 2030, and it focuses on driving a sustainable packaging ecosystem. The company also emphasizes community-based waste collection and recycling models that directly contribute to reducing plastic pollution.

Nestlé India: Nestlé India has committed to making 100% of its packaging recyclable or reusable by 2025. As part of this commitment, Nestlé collaborates with various waste management organizations to collect and recycle plastic waste generated by its products. Additionally, the company focuses on reducing its environmental impact by adopting more sustainable alternatives to single-use plastics, including biodegradable and compostable packaging materials. Nestlé is also working closely with the Indian government to build more robust recycling infrastructure in the country.

ITC Limited: ITC has been actively involved in creating a sustainable packaging ecosystem in India through its **EPR program**, aimed at recycling and reprocessing plastic waste. The company focuses on promoting the use of eco-friendly and recyclable materials in its products, while also working to create a circular economy. ITC collaborates with local waste management bodies and waste pickers to improve plastic waste collection, segregation, and recycling. The company's sustainable business practices emphasize reducing packaging waste and enhancing recycling rates across its product range.

Procter & Gamble (P&G) India: P&G India has launched an EPR program to ensure that its plastic packaging waste is collected and recycled responsibly. The company has set ambitious targets to make 100% of its packaging recyclable or reusable by 2030. P&G has partnered with organizations in India to boost recycling rates and improve waste management infrastructure, especially in urban and rural areas. The company also works towards reducing the amount of plastic used in packaging and shifting to alternative materials to minimize environmental impact

Legal Action Against Non-Compliance: Legal actions have been taken against companies for failing to meet their EPR obligations, with penalties and sanctions imposed for non-compliance with the Plastic Waste Management Rules.

IX. INTERSECTION OF PLASTIC POLLUTION AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

The issue of plastic pollution intersects with India's constitutional framework, particularly the right to a clean and healthy environment under Article 21 of the Constitution. Courts have increasingly used this right to shape the legal framework governing plastic waste.

Public Interest Litigations (PILs): Numerous PILs have been filed to address plastic pollution, with the judiciary mandating stronger enforcement of EPR laws and requiring local governments to take action to curb plastic waste.

Key Case: Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India: The Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India case was ruled on August 28, 1996, by the Supreme Court of India. The court, in its judgment, directed the central government to establish an authority under Section 3(3) of the Environment Protection Act, 1986, to address issues concerning tanneries and other polluting industries in Tamil Nadu. The authority was instructed to apply the precautionary principle and the polluter pays principle.

X. ROLE OF STATE GOVERNMENTS IN EPR IMPLEMENTATION

State governments play a critical role in implementing and enforcing EPR policies. While the

central government sets the broad framework, the state and local governments are responsible for ensuring compliance and building the necessary infrastructure.

Case Study: Maharashtra's Plastic Ban: Maharashtra implemented a plastic ban in 2018, which prohibited the production, use, sale, and distribution of various plastic items, including single-use bags, disposable cutlery, and PET bottles. In 2022, the state revised the ban to allow certain plastic products under specific conditions, such as non-woven polypropylene carry-bags and plastic packaging material with a thickness less than 50 microns, provided they receive approval from the Central Institute of Plastic Engineering and Technology (CIPET) and the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). As of January 2025, the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) has issued a statement enforcing a strict ban on single-use plastic in Mumbai, with fines ranging from Rs 5,000 for first-time offenders to Rs 25,000 and/or imprisonment for repeat offenders.

XI. INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS AND INDIA'S GLOBAL COMMITMENTS

India is a signatory to several international environmental agreements, including the Basel Convention and the Stockholm Convention, which require the country to manage hazardous waste, including plastic waste. India's legal framework for EPR is influenced by global best practices and international commitments.

India has taken a leadership role in the United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA) in addressing the global plastic pollution crisis, advocating for binding international agreements on plastic waste management. India has been actively engaged in international negotiations and initiatives to address the global plastic pollution crisis, and has implemented various measures to manage plastic waste within the country.

XII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

To improve the effectiveness of the EPR framework in India, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Clarify Legal Definitions and Guidelines

Right now, the rules around EPR (Extended Producer Responsibility) can feel a little unclear, and that's causing confusion among everyone involved—from producers to waste management companies, and even local authorities. When the rules are fuzzy, it becomes easy for some businesses to avoid their responsibilities, and it's tough for enforcement agencies to do their job effectively.

What's Needed: To make things clearer, we really need to define key terms like “producer,”

“importer,” and “recycler” in a way that leaves no room for confusion. Imagine if every business knew exactly what they were responsible for—no more gray areas. This could help reduce legal wrangling and ensure everyone knows exactly what they need to do.

The government should also set out clear, actionable guidelines on how waste should be collected, how much producers need to recycle, and what needs to happen if they fall short. If the rules are easy to follow, more people will comply, and the system will run smoother.

2. Strengthen Enforcement Mechanisms

Having rules in place is great, but if no one is making sure those rules are followed, they’re pretty much useless. Right now, enforcement of the EPR system is still pretty weak. Sure, there are penalties for non-compliance, but they’re often not enough to actually get businesses to take their obligations seriously.

What’s Needed: The government needs to up the ante when it comes to enforcement. This doesn’t just mean adding bigger fines (though that helps); it’s about having a robust monitoring system in place. If a company isn’t following the rules, they should face consequences—not just a slap on the wrist. This could mean anything from increased fines to restrictions on doing business in the country until they fix the problem.

There’s also a need for better tools to track waste. Using things like technology, data, and real-time reporting systems can make it easier to keep an eye on what’s going on and catch any bad actors. The idea is to make it clear that non-compliance is just not an option.

3. Expand Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)

Plastic waste management isn’t something that can be solved by the government alone. Businesses, local authorities, and NGOs need to team up if we want to make a real impact. Right now, while some companies are stepping up, there’s not enough collaboration across the board. Local governments often lack the resources to deal with plastic waste properly, and the private sector could be doing more to help build infrastructure.

What’s Needed: What we really need are strong partnerships between the public and private sectors. Companies could step in to help develop things like waste collection systems and recycling centers, and the government could help with regulatory support and incentives. If businesses see it’s in their interest to get involved, they will—especially if there are benefits like tax cuts or public recognition for going above and beyond.

This could also include NGOs and community groups, who are experts at understanding local needs and can help make sure waste management efforts are equitable and effective, especially

in rural areas. Working together, we could build the kind of recycling infrastructure that India desperately needs.

4. Enhance Public Awareness and Engagement

A lot of the time, it's not the rules that are lacking—it's the awareness among the public about what needs to happen. In India, many people still don't know how to properly segregate or dispose of plastic waste. Without this knowledge, it's really hard for the EPR framework to be successful. If the public doesn't understand their role, then recycling efforts will always fall short.

What's Needed: We need to run nationwide public awareness campaigns that get people thinking about their plastic consumption and what happens to it once they throw it away. Schools, social media, and community centers can be powerful tools for educating the public on how to segregate waste and how it will be recycled. The more people understand the value of separating their plastic waste from other trash, the more willing they'll be to participate in the system.

What's also key is to show people that small actions make a big difference—whether it's using reusable bags, recycling plastic, or reducing plastic usage altogether. Public awareness campaigns could also educate consumers on why the EPR framework matters—to encourage them to hold companies accountable too. If we make it cool and common knowledge to care about waste, people will start taking action, and that can shift the culture on a massive scale.

XIII. CONCLUSION

Plastic pollution poses a significant threat to India's environment and public health. While the EPR framework offers a promising solution, its full potential is yet to be realized due to legal, regulatory, and enforcement challenges. The judiciary has played a pivotal role in shaping the legal landscape, and corporate responsibility is evolving, but more needs to be done. With stronger enforcement, clearer regulations, and increased collaboration between stakeholders, India can mitigate the impacts of plastic pollution and move towards a more sustainable future.

XIV. REFERENCES

1. Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, “Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016,” **Gazette of India**, Notification No. G.S.R. 320(E), 18 March 2016.
2. Central Pollution Control Board, “Report on the Status of Plastic Waste Management in India,” CPCB, 2020.
3. National Green Tribunal, **O.A. No. 56/2018** (2018), in the matter of “Eco-watch India v. Hindustan Unilever Ltd.”
4. **O.A. No. 51/2020**, National Green Tribunal (2020), order regarding EPR compliance audit.
5. Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Government of India, **Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016**, Notification G.S.R. 320(E), March 18, 2016.
6. Coca-Cola India, “Sustainability Report,” Coca-Cola India, 2019. Available at: <https://www.cokecce.com>.
7. Hindustan Unilever, “Sustainability Report,” Hindustan Unilever Limited, 2020. Available at: <https://www.hul.co.in/>.
8. Central Pollution Control Board, “CPCB Report on Plastic Waste Management in India,” CPCB, 2020. Available at: <https://cpcb.nic.in/>.
9. Central Pollution Control Board. *CPCB Report on Plastic Waste Management in India*. 2020. CPCB. <https://cpcb.nic.in/>. Accessed 18 Jan. 2025.
10. Government of Tamil Nadu, “Plastic Waste Management Policy,” 2019. Available at: <https://www.tn.gov.in/>.
11. Gupta, S.P., **Environmental Laws and Regulations in India**, 2nd edition, Indian Law Institute, 2019.
